



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/048,212	2 06/07/2002		Atsushi Miyamoto	Q68293	4780
23373	7590	11/17/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE	-	PLLC IA AVENUE, N.W.	COOK, LISA V		
SUITE 800	II L VILL	minitalion, i.w.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20037		1641	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/048,212	MIYAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lisa V. Cook	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and the second part of the control of the con	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commoderate (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on a 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 3)□ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the closed in the c	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-6.9 and 10 is/are pending in the same state of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4.5.6.9, and 10 is/are rejected for claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and same state of the same s	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exame 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeya prection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the copies of the copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the certified copies of the	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/048,212 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment Entry

1. Applicants response to the Office Action mailed March 30, 2005 is acknowledged (paper filed 8/30/05). In the amendment filed therein, the specification along with claim 1 and claim 6 were modified. Claims 2, 3, 7, and 8 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Currently, claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10 are pending and under consideration.

2. Objections and/or rejection of record not reiterated herein have been withdrawn.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/048,212

Page 3

Art Unit: 1641

I. Claims 1, 4, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter et al. (Int. Arch. Allergy, 36 354-375, 1969) in view of Shinoda et al. (Nippon Ishinkin Gakkai Zasshi, 1991, 32 Suppl.2 Proc. Annu. Meet. Jpn. Soc. Med. Mycol. 34th 1990, pages 83-93, Abstract Only).

Hunter et al. teach agglutination procedures to measure antibody-antigen binding. In one embodiment, pepsin treated antibodies are coupled to BSA (protease treated BSA) and use to measure antigen interaction via agglutination. See pepsin of F(ab)2 fragments and 7S on page 356; page 363. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) is proven useful in being coupled to reagents while the reagent binding ability in agglutination procedures is maintained. See page 361 number 2 and table IV.

Hunter et al. differ from the instant invention in not specifically teaching the utility of latex particles carrying an antibody or antigen specifically reactive with the analyte of interest.

However, Shinoda et al. teach this limitation. Specifically, Shinoda et al. disclose agglutination tests to measure cryptococcal antigens. The test utilizes a protease treated serum or cerebrospinal fluid sample and a sensitized latex suspension (particles coated with anti-Cryptococcal). The antigen was detectable in soluble immune complexes. The latex assay was sensitive and useful in patient sample evaluations (meningitis, pulmonary cryptococcosis, and cutaneous cryptococcosis). The protease pretreatment of the serum was useful in reducing false positive and false negative results. See abstract.

Art Unit: 1641

It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use a latex assay as taught by Shinoda et al. in the BSA protease pretreatment method of Hunter et al. because Shinoda et al. taught that the latex assay was sensitive and useful in patient sample evaluations (meningitis, pulmonary cryptococcosis, and cutaneous cryptococcosis). Further, the protease pretreatment was useful in reducing false positive and false negative results in the latex assay. See abstract.

II. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter et al. (Int. Arch. Allergy, 36 354-375, 1969) in view of Shinoda et al. (Nippon Ishinkin Gakkai Zasshi, 1991, 32 Suppl.2 Proc. Annu. Meet. Jpn. Soc. Med. Mycol. 34th 1990, pages 83-93, Abstract Only) and further in view of Nakase et al. (JP 48019719 Abstract Only).

Please see Hunter et al. in view of Shinoda et al. as set forth above. Hunter et al. in view of Shinoda et al. disclose the reagent combination involving protease treatment in combination with BSA and antigen/antibody coated latex particles. However, Hunter et al. in view of Shinoda et al. do not teach the use of these reagents for anti-streptolysin O antibodies.

Nakase et al. disclose that the addition of BSA (bovine serum albumin) to streptolysin O stabilizes streptolysin O and allow streptolysin O to maintain its activity. See abstract.

Therefore, it would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to take the protease treatment in combination with BSA and antigen/antibody coated latex particles detection reagents as taught by Hunter et al. in view of Shinoda et al. and utilize them in turbidity measurements for anti-streptolysin O antibodies/antigen assays because Nakase et al. disclose that the addition of BSA (bovine serum albumin) to streptolysin O stabilizes streptolysin O and allow streptolysin O to maintain its activity. See abstract.

Response to Arguments

Applicants contend that the reference of Masson et al. did not anticipate the instant invention because BSA was carried on the latex particle and not protease treated as set forth in the claims. This argument was carefully considered and found persuasive. Accordingly the reference to Masson et al. has been replaced with Hunter et al. in view of Shinoda et al.

4. For reasons aforementioned, no claims are allowed.

Remarks

- 5. Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure:
- A. Masson et al. (EPO 0 061 857 A1) disclose pepsin digestion to eliminate protein interferences. See page 8 lines 25 through 30.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,212 Page 6

Art Unit: 1641

6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 1641 – Central Fax number is (571) 273-8300, which is able to receive transmissions 24 hours/day, 7 days/week. In the event Applicant would like to fax an unofficial communication, the Examiner should be contacted for the appropriate Right Fax number.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa V. Cook whose telephone number is (571) 272-0816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le, can be reached on (571) 272-0823.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group TC 1600 whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,212

Art Unit: 1641

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lisa V. Cook

Remsen 3C-59

(571) 272-0816

11/3/05

LONG V. LE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

11/09/05